1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 No. CV-14-02530-PHX-SPL Lydia Bultemeyer, 9 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 10 VS. 11 CenturyLink Incorporated, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Approve Class Notice (Doc. 190), and 16 Defendant's Motion for Leave to Substitute Lists of Possible Class Members (Doc. 193). 17 For the following reasons, Plaintiff's Motion to Approve Class Notice is granted in part 18 and denied in part, and Defendant's Motion is granted. 19 T. **BACKGROUND** 20 On February 2, 2023, the Court certified this matter as a class action pursuant to 21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) on behalf of: 22 [E] very individual in the United States about whom Defendant CenturyLink obtained a consumer credit report using the 23 personal information the individual entered into Century Link's ecommerce website from November 14, 2012 through 24 November 14, 2014 and who did not sign an arbitration agreement or class action waiver with CenturyLink. 25 26 (Doc. 178 at 10). On October 16, 2023, Plaintiff filed a timely Motion to Approve Class 27 Notice. (Doc. 190). Defendant objected to Plaintiff's Motion because it included 28 publication through electronic media and failed to provide an explanation why recipients may not be class members. (Doc. 191 at 1–2). Plaintiff's Motion is fully briefed. (Docs. 190, 191, 192). Additionally, Defendant moved to update the lists of possible class members. (Doc. 193). Defendant's Motion is unopposed. (Doc. 194).

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B) provides that "[f]or any class certified under Rule 23(b)(3) . . . the court must direct to class members the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through reasonable effort." *Id.*; *see also Bruno v. Quten Rsch. Inst., LLC*, No. SACV1100173DOCEX, 2012 WL 12886843, at *1 (C.D. Cal. July 16, 2012) ("Notice plans are not expected to reach every class member; Rule 23 requires the best notice 'practicable,' not perfect notice."). Furthermore, the notice "must clearly and concisely state in plain, easily understood language" the following:

(i) the nature of the action; (ii) the definition of the class certified; (iii) the class claims, issues, or defenses; (iv) that a class member may enter an appearance through an attorney if the member so desires; (v) that the court will exclude from the class any member who requests exclusion; (vi) the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and (vii) the binding effect of a class judgment on members under Rule 23(c)(3).

Id. The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that the notice is "reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Silber v. Mabon, 18 F.3d 1449, 1454 (9th Cir. 1994) (quoting Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950)). However, actual receipt of notice is not required. Id. Furthermore, the notice may be delivered via "United States mail, electronic means, or other appropriate means." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B). District courts have "broad power and discretion vested in them by [Rule 23]' in determining the parameters of appropriate class notice." Chinitz v. Intero Real Est. Servs., No. 18-CV-05623-BLF, 2020 WL 7042871, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 2020) (quoting Reiter v. Sonotone Corp., 442 U.S. 330, 345 (1979)).

///

III. DISCUSSION

a. Substitute Lists of Possible Class Members

Defendant moves to substitute the lists of possible class members with updated lists. (Doc. 193). Plaintiff does not oppose this request. (Doc. 194). The updated lists more accurately identify potential class members and replace decade-old addresses with more current addresses. (Doc. 193 at 3–4). Accordingly, the Court grants Defendant's Motion for Leave to Substitute Lists of Possible Class Members. (Doc. 193).

b. Class Notice

Plaintiff asks the Court to approve: (i) the form of the Email Notice; (ii) the form of the Postcard Notice; (iii) the form of the Notice of Class Action and Class Members' Rights; and (iv) the Notice Plan. (Doc. 190 at 3). Defendant raises no objections against the general process for providing direct notice to possible class members. (Doc. 191 at 1). Upon reviewing the notice forms and plan, the Court finds that Plaintiff meets all the requirements under Rule 23. (Doc. 190-1; 190-2; 190-3; 190-4). Plaintiff also moves to provide supplemental notice via electronic media because the available contact information for potential class members is at least ten years old. (Doc. 192 at 2). Defendant has provided updated contact lists with more current addresses. (Doc. 193). Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Approve Class Notice is granted in part and Plaintiff's request for supplemental notice is denied. Additionally, the Court grants Plaintiff's request to appoint A.B. Data, Ltd. as Notice Administrator.

Defendant moves to make two modifications to the class notice forms. First, Defendant requests that "the content of the various forms of notice be consistent in advising that recipients are 'possible' class members" because it may not have obtained consumer credit reports for all the potential class members. (Doc. 191 at 6). The Court, however, finds that the class notice forms already provide a consistent statement explaining that the recipient "may be a 'Class Member." (Doc. 190-1 at 2; Doc. 190-2 at 2; Doc. 190-3 at 3) (emphasis added). Second, Defendant makes an unsupported argument that there will be less confusion if the notice forms included the following statement: "Lumen does not have

records which will answer whether a person who initiated but did not complete the online transaction was the subject of a credit report sent to Lumen." (Doc. 191 at 8). The Court, however, believes that this statement is more likely to create confusion rather than eliminate it. Therefore, the Court rejects Defendant's requests to modify the class notice forms.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Leave to Substitute Lists of Possible Class Members (Doc. 193) is **granted**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Approve Class Notice (Doc. 190) is granted in part. Plaintiff's request to provide Media Notice is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that A.B. Data, Ltd. ("Notice Administrator") is appointed and authorized to supervise and administer the Notice procedure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Class Counsel shall provide Notice Administrator with the Substitute List of Possible Class Members that Defendant served on November 8, 2023, within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for each individual on the Substitute List of Possible Class Members with an email address, Notice Administrator shall use commercially reasonable means to determine which, if any, are bad email addresses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for each individual on the Substitute List of Possible Class Members with an email address that has not been confirmed as a bad email address, Notice Administrator shall send Email Notice (Doc. 190-1) via email. Notice Administrator shall use certain best practices when disseminating email to maximize deliverability. Notice Administrator shall send such notice within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for each individual on the Substitute List of Possible Class Members with no email address or an email address that has been confirmed as a bad email address, Notice Administrator shall confirm or update such individuals' mailing address using a National Change of Address Database. For each individual with a

confirmed or updated mailing address, Notice Administrator shall send Postcard Notice 1 2 (Doc. 190-2) postage pre-paid. Notice Administrator shall send such notice within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Notice Administrator shall issue a news release. 4 disseminated via PR Newswire's US1 distribution list within thirty (30) days of the date 5 of this Order. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that prior to, or contemporaneously with, the 7 sending of the Email Notice and the Postcard Notice, Notice Administrator shall establish 8 and maintain a website (the "Website") and toll-free number dedicated to the litigation to 9 address Class Member inquiries. Notice Administrator shall cause a copy of the Notice of 10 Class Action and Class Members' Rights (Doc. 190-3) to be posted on the Website, as well 11 as other relevant Court documents which can be viewed and downloaded. The Email 12 Notice and Postcard Notice shall direct Class Members to the Website. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for exclusion from the Class is 14 February 21, 2024. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within ten (10) calendar days after the period 16 allowed for Class Members to request exclusion has expired, Class Counsel shall promptly 17 file all such requests for exclusion with the Court and serve copies of the same on all 18 parties. 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the costs of the Notice process shall be borne 20 by Class Counsel. 21 Dated this 8th day of December, 2023. 22 23 24 Honorable Steven P. United States District Ladge 25 26 27 28